

Perturbation Theory for Antisymmetric Tensor Fields in Four Dimensions

N. Maggiore

*Dipartimento di Fisica – Università di Genova
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare – sez. di Genova
Via Dodecaneso, 33 – 16146 Genova (Italy)*

and

S. P. Sorella¹

*Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève
CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland*

ABSTRACT

Perturbation theory for a class of topological field theories containing antisymmetric tensor fields is considered. These models are characterized by a supersymmetric structure which allows to establish their perturbative finiteness.

GEF-Th-6/1992
UGVA-DPT 1992/04-761

April 1992

¹Supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation

1 Introduction

The antisymmetric tensor fields, or BF -models, were introduced many years ago in connection with string theories and nonlinear sigma models [1].

More recently they have been the object of a renewed interest due to their topological nature [2]. Antisymmetric tensor fields can be used, for instance, to compute topological invariants [3] which generalize the three-dimensional linking number [4].

These models are also studied for their connection with lower dimensional quantum gravity; in particular, the Einstein-Hilbert gravity in three space-time dimensions, with or without cosmological constant, can be naturally formulated in terms of the BF -models [2, 5, 6].

From a pure field theoretical point of view, the BF -models are known to require a highly non trivial quantization [7, 8, 9] due to the presence of zero modes, which implies several ghost generations for the gauge fixing procedure. Moreover, as shown for the three-dimensional case [10], they are expected to be an example of finite theories.

The purpose of this work is to give a proof of the perturbative finiteness for the more complex four dimensional case, relying on the existence of a supersymmetric structure found by the authors [8]. This structure, whose topological origin is manifest when adopting a Landau gauge, is a common feature of a large class of topological models [10, 11].

Although the choice of an axial-type gauge, which trivializes the ghost sector, appears to be more convenient than the covariant Landau gauge, the adoption of the latter turns out to be very useful to discuss the higher dimensional generalization of the model [12]. Indeed in dimensions higher than four, the BF -models naturally contain the generalized Chern-Simons terms [19] which, being not trivial even with a noncovariant gauge choice, are easily handled in the Landau gauge, thanks to the existence of the above mentioned supersymmetric structure.

The essence of the method is to encode all the constraints defining the model (BRS invariance, supersymmetry, ghost equations, ...) into an ex-

tended BRS operator by the introduction of new global ghosts. The quantized theory is then controlled by a generalized Slavnov identity which contains all the symmetries. This technique turns out to be very powerful when dealing with an algebraic structure described by several operators. In particular, the search of the anomalies for each single operator is reduced to a unique cohomology problem for the extended Slavnov operator.

The paper is organized as follows: sect.2 contains a brief review of the algebraic classical properties. In sect.3 we discuss the absence of local counterterms and, finally, in sect.4 we characterize the anomalies.

2 The classical model and the algebraic structure

This section is devoted to a brief summary of the classical properties of the model.

Following [8], the model is characterized by a complete gauge-fixed classical action

$$\Sigma = S_{inv} + S_{gf} + S_{ext} , \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$S_{inv} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a B_{\rho\sigma}^a \quad (2.2)$$

is the topological four-dimensional BF -action [2, 3] which describes the interaction between a two-form field $B_{\mu\nu}^a$ and a gauge field A_μ^a , and

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c . \quad (2.3)$$

The action (2.2) has the symmetries :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(1)} A_\mu^a &= -(\partial_\mu \theta^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \theta^c) \equiv -(D_\mu \theta)^a \\ \delta^{(1)} B_{\mu\nu}^a &= -f^{abc} B_{\mu\nu}^b \theta^c \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(2)} A_\mu^a &= 0 \\ \delta^{(2)} B_{\mu\nu}^a &= -((D_\mu \varphi_\nu)^a - (D_\nu \varphi_\mu)^a) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

with θ^a and φ^a local parameters.

The corresponding gauge-fixing action, in a Landau-type gauge, reads [8] :

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{gf} = \int d^4x \Big(& b^a \partial A^a + \bar{c}^a \partial^\mu (D_\mu c)^a + h^{a\nu} (\partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu}^a) + \omega^a \partial \xi^a + h_\mu^a (\partial^\mu e^a) \\
& + \omega^a \lambda^a + (\partial_\mu \bar{\xi}^{a\mu}) \lambda^a - (\partial^\mu \bar{\phi}^a) \left[(D_\mu \phi)^a + f^{abc} c^b \xi_\mu^c \right] \\
& - (\partial^\mu \bar{\xi}^{a\nu}) \left[(D_\mu \xi_\nu)^a - (D_\nu \xi_\mu)^a + f^{abc} B_{\mu\nu}^b c^c \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial_\mu \bar{\xi}_\nu^a) (\partial_\rho \bar{\xi}_\sigma^b) \phi^c \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $(c, \bar{c}, b)$, $(\xi, \bar{\xi}, h)$ are the ghosts, the antighosts and the Lagrangian multipliers for the transformations (2.4) and (2.5), while the fields $(\phi, \bar{\phi}, \omega)$ take into account a further degeneracy due to the well-known [7] existence of zero modes in the transformations (2.5).

All the fields belong to the adjoint representation of the gauge group G , assumed to be simple. The dimensions and the Faddeev-Popov charges of the fields are

	A	B	c	$\bar{c}$	b	ξ	$\bar{\xi}$	h	ϕ	$\bar{\phi}$	ω	e	λ
dim	1	2	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	2	2	2	2
$\Phi\Pi$	0	0	1	-1	0	1	-1	0	2	-2	-1	0	1

Table 1. Dimensions and Faddeev-Popov charges of the quantum fields.

The gauge-fixed action $(S_{inv} + S_{gf})$ turns out to be invariant under the two following sets of transformations [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}
sA_\mu^a &= -(D_\mu c)^a \\
sc^a &= \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} c^b c^c \\
s\xi_\mu^a &= (D_\mu \phi)^a + f^{abc} c^b \xi_\mu^c \\
s\phi^a &= f^{abc} c^b \phi^c \\
sB_{\mu\nu}^a &= -(D_\mu \xi_\nu - D_\nu \xi_\mu)^a - f^{abc} B_{\mu\nu}^b c^c + f^{abc} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial^\rho \bar{\xi}^{b\sigma}) \phi^c \\
s\bar{\xi}_\mu^a &= h_\mu^a, & sh_\mu^a &= 0 \\
s\bar{c}^a &= b^a, & sb^a &= 0 \\
s\bar{\phi}^a &= \omega^a, & s\omega^a &= 0 \\
se^a &= \lambda^a, & s\lambda^a &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\mu A_\nu^a &= -\varepsilon_{\nu\mu\tau\rho} \partial^\tau \bar{\xi}^{a\rho} \\
\delta_\mu c^a &= -A_\mu^a \\
\delta_\mu \bar{c}^a &= 0 \\
\delta_\mu b^a &= \partial_\mu \bar{c}^a \\
\delta_\mu B_{\nu\rho}^a &= -\varepsilon_{\nu\rho\mu\tau} \partial^\tau \bar{c}^a \\
\delta_\mu \xi_\nu^a &= -B_{\mu\nu}^a \\
\delta_\mu \bar{\xi}^{a\tau} &= -\delta_\mu^\tau \bar{\phi}^a \\
\delta_\mu h^{a\nu} &= (\partial_\mu \bar{\xi}^{a\nu}) + \delta_\mu^\nu \omega^a \\
\delta_\mu \phi^a &= \xi_\mu^a \\
\delta_\mu \bar{\phi}^a &= 0 \\
\delta_\mu \omega^a &= \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^a \\
\delta_\mu \lambda^a &= \partial_\mu e^a \\
\delta_\mu e^a &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

with

$$s(S_{inv} + S_{gf}) = \delta_\mu(S_{inv} + S_{gf}) = 0 . \tag{2.9}$$

It is easy to verify that :

$$\begin{aligned}
\{ s, s \} &= 0 + \text{equations of motion} \\
\{ s, \delta_\mu \} &= \partial_\mu + \text{equations of motion} \\
\{ \delta_\mu, \delta_\nu \} &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

from which one sees that the BRS transformations (2.7) are nilpotent on-shell.

Finally, the last term S_{ext} in (2.1) describes the coupling of the external sources $(\Omega, L, \gamma, D, \rho)$ with the nonlinear transformations in (2.7) :

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{ext} = \int d^4x \Big(& \Omega^{a\mu} (sA_\mu^a) + L^a (sc^a) + \gamma^{a\mu\nu} (sB_{\mu\nu}^a) + D^a (s\phi^a) \\
& + \rho^{a\mu} (s\xi_\mu^a) + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{a\mu\nu} \gamma^{b\rho\sigma} \phi^c \Big) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The dimensions and the Faddeev–Popov charges of these sources are :

	Ω	L	γ	D	ρ
dim	3	4	2	4	3
$\Phi\Pi$	-1	-2	-1	-3	-2

Table 2. Dimensions and Faddeev–Popov charges of the external fields.

The complete action Σ obeys to the following Slavnov identity :

$$\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) = 0 , \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) = \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\Omega^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta A_\mu^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta c^a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\gamma^{a\mu\nu}} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta D^a} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\phi^a} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\rho^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\xi_\mu^a} + h_\mu^a \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{\xi}_\mu^a} + b^a \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{c}^a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{\phi}^a} + \lambda^a \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta e^a} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The corresponding linearized operator

$$\begin{aligned} B_\Sigma = \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\Omega^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta A_\mu^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta\Omega^{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta c^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta c^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta L^a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\gamma^{a\mu\nu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma^{a\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta D^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\phi^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta D^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\rho^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta\xi_\mu^a} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\xi_\mu^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta\rho^{a\mu}} + h_\mu^a \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\xi}_\mu^a} + b^a \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{c}^a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\phi}^a} + \lambda^a \frac{\delta}{\delta e^a} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

turns out to be nilpotent, *i.e.* :

$$B_\Sigma B_\Sigma = 0 . \quad (2.15)$$

One has to remark that the nilpotency of B_Σ is insured by the quadratic term in the external source $\gamma^{a\mu\nu}$ in (2.11). As it is well known [13], the introduction of this term is necessary when the BRS transformations are nilpotent on-shell and, in practice, is the only way which allows to define an off-shell nilpotent linearized operator.

The δ_μ invariance of $(S_{inv} + S_{gf})$ translates into a Ward identity for Σ [8]:

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu \Sigma = \Delta_\mu^{cl} , \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_\mu = \int d^4x \Big(& -\varepsilon_{\nu\mu\tau\rho}(\gamma^{a\tau\rho} + \partial^\tau \bar{\xi}^{a\rho}) \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^a} - A_\mu^a \frac{\delta}{\delta c^a} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\nu\rho\mu\tau}(\Omega^{a\tau} + \partial^\tau \bar{c}^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta B_{\nu\rho}^a} \\ & - B_{\mu\nu}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi_\nu^a} + (\partial_\mu \bar{c}^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta b^a} - \bar{\phi}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\xi}^{a\mu}} + (\partial_\mu \bar{\xi}^{a\nu} + \delta_\mu^\nu \omega^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta h^{a\nu}} \\ & + \xi_\mu^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^a} + (\partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \omega^a} + (\partial_\mu e^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda^a} - D^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} \\ & - L^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} - \frac{1}{4} (\delta_\mu^\sigma \rho^{a\tau} - \delta_\mu^\tau \rho^{a\sigma}) \frac{\delta}{\delta \gamma^{a\sigma\tau}} \Big) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\mu^{cl} = \int d^4x \Big(& -\gamma^{a\rho\sigma} (\partial_\mu B_{\rho\sigma}^a) - \Omega^{a\tau} (\partial_\mu A_\tau^a) + L^a (\partial_\mu c^a) - D^a (\partial_\mu \phi^a) \\ & + \rho^{a\tau} (\partial_\mu \xi_\tau^a) + \varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma\nu} \Omega^{a\rho} (\partial^\sigma h^{a\nu}) - \varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma\nu} \gamma^{a\rho\sigma} (\partial^\nu b^a) \Big) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Notice that Δ_μ^{cl} , being linear in the quantum fields, is a classical breaking.

The ghost equation, usually valid in the Landau gauge [14, 15], in the present case reads :

$$\mathcal{G}^a \Sigma = \Delta^a , \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}^a = \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^a} - f^{abc} \bar{\phi}^b \frac{\delta}{\delta b^c} \right) , \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\Delta^a = \int d^4x \ f^{abc} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{b\mu\nu} \gamma^{c\rho\sigma} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{b\mu\nu} (\partial^\rho \bar{\xi}^{c\sigma}) + D^b c^c + \rho^{b\mu} A_\mu^c \right) . \quad (2.21)$$

Anticommuting the ghost equation (2.19) with the Slavnov identity (2.12), one finds a further constraint, again linearly broken :

$$\mathcal{F}^a \Sigma = \Xi^a , \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^a = \int d^4x \ f^{abc} \Big(& -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\gamma^{b\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu \bar{\xi}^{b\nu}) \frac{\delta}{\delta B_{\rho\sigma}^c} + \rho^{b\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Omega^{c\mu}} - D^b \frac{\delta}{\delta L^c} \\ & - c^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^c} - A_\mu^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi_\mu^c} - \bar{\phi}^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^c} + \omega^b \frac{\delta}{\delta b^c} \Big) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$\Xi^a = \int d^4x \ f^{abc} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{b\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h^{c\sigma} . \quad (2.24)$$

The gauge-fixing conditions are :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta b^a} &= \partial A^a \\ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta h^{a\nu}} &= \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu}^a + \partial_\nu e^a \\ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \omega^a} &= \partial \xi^a + \lambda^a \\ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \lambda^a} &= -\partial \bar{\xi}^a - \omega^a , \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

As usual [16], commuting (2.25) with the Slavnov identity (2.12), one gets the antighost equations :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}^a} &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} - \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a} &= 0 \\ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta e^a} + \partial h^a &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \gamma^{a\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\xi}^{a\nu}} + \partial_\nu \lambda^a &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

To summarize, the classical action Σ (2.1) is characterized by :

i) the Slavnov identity

$$\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) = 0 ; \quad (2.27)$$

ii) the vectorial supersymmetry

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu \Sigma = \Delta_\mu^{cl} ; \quad (2.28)$$

iii) the ghost and the $\mathcal{F}^a$ -equations

$$\mathcal{G}^a \Sigma = \Delta^a \quad (2.29)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^a \Sigma = \Xi^a ; \quad (2.30)$$

iv) the gauge–fixing conditions (2.25) .

The operators in (2.27)–(2.30) form a nonlinear algebra whose relevant part takes the form :

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma \mathcal{S}(\gamma) &= 0 \\ \{\mathcal{W}_\mu, \mathcal{W}_\nu\} &= 0 \\ \mathcal{W}_\mu \mathcal{S}(\gamma) + B_\gamma(\mathcal{W}_\mu \gamma - \Delta_\mu^{cl}) &= \mathcal{P}_\mu \gamma , \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^a \mathcal{S}(\gamma) - B_\gamma(\mathcal{G}^a \gamma - \Delta^a) &= \mathcal{F}^a \gamma - \Xi^a \\ \mathcal{G}^a(\mathcal{W}_\mu \gamma - \Delta_\mu^{cl}) - \mathcal{W}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^a \gamma - \Delta^a) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{F}^a(\mathcal{W}_\mu \gamma - \Delta_\mu^{cl}) + \mathcal{W}_\mu(\mathcal{F}^a \gamma - \Xi^a) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{G}^a(\mathcal{G}^b \gamma - \Delta^b) - \mathcal{G}^b(\mathcal{G}^a \gamma - \Delta^a) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{F}^a(\mathcal{F}^b \gamma - \Xi^b) + \mathcal{F}^b(\mathcal{F}^a \gamma - \Xi^a) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{F}^a \mathcal{S}(\gamma) + B_\gamma(\mathcal{F}^a \gamma - \Xi^a) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{G}^a(\mathcal{F}^b \gamma - \Xi^b) - \mathcal{F}^b(\mathcal{G}^a \gamma - \Delta^a) &= 0 , \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is the translation operator

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = \sum_{(all \text{ fields } \varphi)} \int d^4x \ (\partial_\mu \varphi) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} , \tag{2.33}$$

and γ is a generic functional with even Faddeev–Popov charge.

Notice that the subalgebra (2.31) formed by the Slavnov and by the operator $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ closes on the translations, which allows a supersymmetric interpretation of the model. This feature is shared by a large class of topological models as, for instance, the three–dimensional Chern–Simons theory [11] and the three–dimensional Einstein–Hilbert gravity [6].

Finally, the rigid gauge invariance of the classical action is expressed by

$$\mathcal{H}_{rig}^a \Sigma = 0 , \tag{2.34}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{rig}^a = \sum_{(all \text{ fields } \varphi)} \int d^4x \ f^{abc} \varphi^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^c} . \tag{2.35}$$

3 Absence of counterterms

This section is devoted to the algebraic characterization of the possible local counterterms which are compatible with the symmetries and the constraints (2.25)–(2.30) satisfied by the classical action Σ .

We look then at the most general integrated local polynomial in the fields $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}$ with dimensions four and zero ghost number which satisfies the following stability conditions [10, 14, 15, 16] :

$$\frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta b^a} = \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta h^{a\nu}} = \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \omega^a} = \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \lambda^a} = 0 , \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \bar{c}^a} &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} - \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a} &= 0 \\ \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta e^a} &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \gamma^{a\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}}{\delta \bar{\xi}^{a\nu}} &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{G}^a \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = 0 , \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^a \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = \mathcal{H}_{rig}^a \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = 0 , \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = 0 , \quad (3.5)$$

$$B_\Sigma \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

where B_Σ is the linearized Slavnov operator defined in (2.14).

The conditions (3.1) and (3.2) imply that $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}$ does not depend on the fields $(b, h, \omega, \lambda, e)$ and that the external sources (Ω, ρ, γ) and the antighosts $(\bar{c}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi})$ appear only in the combinations $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\rho}, \widehat{\gamma})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Omega}^\mu &= \Omega^\mu + \partial^\mu \bar{c} \\ \widehat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} &= \gamma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu \bar{\xi}^\nu - \partial^\nu \bar{\xi}^\mu) \\ \widehat{\rho}^\mu &= \rho^\mu - \partial^\mu \bar{\phi} \ ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

i.e.

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}(A, c, B, \xi, \phi, \widehat{\gamma}, \widehat{\Omega}, L, \widehat{\rho}, D) \ .\tag{3.8}$$

From the ghost equation (3.3) it follows that $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}$ depends only on the space-time derivatives of the ghost ϕ , so that $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}$ can be parametrized as

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(2)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(3)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(4)} \ ,\tag{3.9}$$

where, according to the number of fields,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(2)} = \int d^4x \Big(& a_1 B_{\mu\nu}^a B^{a\mu\nu} + a_2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu}^a B_{\rho\sigma}^a + a_3 B^{a\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \\ & + a_4 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu}^a \partial_\rho A_\sigma^a + a_5 (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a) (\partial^\mu A^{a\nu}) + a_6 (\partial A^a) (\partial A^a) \\ & + a_7 \widehat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \xi_\nu^a + a_8 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widehat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}^a \partial_\rho \xi_\sigma^a + a_9 \xi_\mu^a \widehat{\Omega}^{a\mu} \\ & + a_{10} \widehat{\Omega}^{a\mu} \partial_\mu c^a + a_{11} \phi^a \partial \widehat{\rho}^a \Big) \ ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(3)} = \int d^4x f^{abc} \Big(& b_1 A_\mu^a A_\nu^b B^{c\mu\nu} + b_2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b B_{\rho\sigma}^c + b_3 (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a) A^{b\mu} A^{c\nu} \\ & + b_4 \widehat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}^b c^c + b_5 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widehat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}^a B_{\rho\sigma}^b c^c + b_6 \widehat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu} A_\mu^b \xi_\nu^c \\ & + b_7 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widehat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}^a A_\rho^b \xi_\sigma^c + b_8 (\partial_\mu \widehat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu}) A_\nu^b c^c + b_9 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial_\mu \widehat{\gamma}_{\nu\rho}^a) A_\sigma^b c^c \\ & + b_{10} \widehat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^b) c^c + b_{11} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widehat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}^a (\partial_\rho A_\sigma^b) c^c + b_{12} \widehat{\Omega}^{a\mu} A_\mu^b c^c \\ & + b_{13} L^a c^b c^c + b_{14} \widehat{\rho}^{a\mu} \xi_\mu^b c^c + b_{15} \widehat{\rho}^{a\mu} (\partial_\mu c^b) c^c \Big) \ ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(4)} = \int d^4x \Big(& c_1^{abcd} A_\mu^a A^{b\mu} A_\nu^c A^{d\nu} + c_2^{abcd} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\rho^c A_\sigma^d + c_3^{abcd} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b \hat{\gamma}^{c\mu\nu} c^d \\
& + c_4^{abcd} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b \hat{\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}^c c^d + c_5^{abcd} D^a c^b c^c c^d + c_6^{abcd} \hat{\rho}^{a\mu} A_\mu^b c^c c^d \\
& + c_7^{abcd} \hat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu} \hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}^b c^c c^d + c_8^{abcd} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}^a \hat{\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}^b c^c c^d \Big) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and $\{a_i, b_i, c_i^{abcd}\}$ are arbitrary constant parameters.

Let us consider now the $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ -condition (3.5).

Since the operator $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ in (2.17) acts linearly on all the fields, condition (3.5) holds separately for each term of the decomposition (3.9). This property considerably simplifies the algebraic analysis concerning $\mathcal{W}_\mu$.

The final result is that the $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ -invariance of $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)}$ forces all the coefficients in (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) to vanish, implying the absence of counterterms :

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(c)} = 0 . \tag{3.13}$$

We can therefore conclude that conditions (2.25)–(2.30) completely identify the classical action, *i.e.* there is no possibility for any local deformation.

4 Anomalies

The purpose of this last section is to show the absence of anomalies for the operators entering the nonlinear algebra (2.31) and (2.32).

This result, combined with the previous one (3.13), concerning the absence of counterterms, completes the proof of the perturbative finiteness of the model.

In what follows we shall adopt the strategy of collecting all the symmetries of the gauge-fixed action $(S_{inv} + S_{gf})$ into a unique operator by means of the introduction of new global ghosts [17]. As we shall see, this procedure turns out to be the most convenient one when dealing with a nonlinear algebra involving several operators.

The gauge–fixing conditions (2.25), the antighost equations (2.26) and the rigid gauge invariance (2.34) are known to be renormalizable and will be assumed to hold for the quantum vertex functional Γ

$$\Gamma = \Sigma + O(\hbar) : \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta b^a} &= \partial A^a \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta h^{a\nu}} &= \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu}^a + \partial_\nu e^a \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \omega^a} &= \partial \xi^a + \lambda^a \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \lambda^a} &= -\partial \bar{\xi}^a - \omega^a , \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\mu \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}^a} &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} - \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a} &= 0 \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta e^a} + \partial h^a &= 0 \\ \partial^\mu \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \gamma^{a\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{\xi}^{a\nu}} + \partial_\nu \lambda^a &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{rig}^a \Gamma = 0 . \quad (4.4)$$

4.1 The $\mathcal{D}$ operator

To collect all the symmetries (2.7), (2.8), (2.19) and (2.22) of the gauge–fixed action ($S_{inv} + S_{gf}$) into a unique operator, let us define :

$$\mathcal{Q} \equiv s + u^a \mathcal{G}^a + v^a \mathcal{F}_{(0)}^a + \eta^\mu \delta_\mu + \theta^\mu \mathcal{P}_\mu - u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} - \eta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\mu} , \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{(0)}^a$ coincides with the operator $\mathcal{F}^a$ in (2.23) at vanishing external sources :

$$\mathcal{F}_{(0)}^a = \int d^4x \ f^{abc} \left(-\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^\mu \bar{\xi}^{b\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta B_{\rho\sigma}^c} - c^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^c} - A_\mu^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi_\mu^c} - \bar{\phi}^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^c} + \omega^b \frac{\delta}{\delta b^c} \right) , \quad (4.6)$$

and $(u^a, v^a, \eta^\mu, \theta^\mu)$ are global parameters whose quantum numbers are :

	u	v	η	θ
dim	0	0	-1	-1
$\Phi\Pi$	3	2	2	1

Table 3. Dimensions and $\Phi\Pi$ -charges of the parameters.

It is easily seen that the operator $\mathcal{Q}$ describes a symmetry of the gauge-fixed action :

$$\mathcal{Q}(S_{inv} + S_{gf}) = 0 , \quad (4.7)$$

and, as it happens for the BRS transformations (2.7),

$$\mathcal{Q}^2 = 0 \ + \ \text{equations of motion} , \quad (4.8)$$

i.e. $\mathcal{Q}$ is nilpotent on-shell.

Introducing the modified source term

$$S_{ext}^{(\mathcal{Q})} = \int d^4x \left(\Omega^{a\mu}(\mathcal{Q}A_\mu^a) + L^a(\mathcal{Q}c^a) + \gamma^{a\mu\nu}(\mathcal{Q}B_{\mu\nu}^a) \right. \\ \left. + D^a(\mathcal{Q}\phi^a) + \rho^{a\mu}(\mathcal{Q}\xi_\mu^a) \right. \\ \left. + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} f^{abc} \gamma^{a\mu\nu} \gamma^{b\rho\sigma} \phi^c + \eta^\mu \Omega^{a\nu} \gamma^{a\rho\sigma} + f^{abc} v^a \gamma^{b\mu\nu} \gamma^{c\rho\sigma} \right) \right) , \quad (4.9)$$

the new total action

$$\mathcal{I} = S_{inv} + S_{gf} + S_{ext}^{(\mathcal{Q})} \quad (4.10)$$

obeys the following generalized Slavnov identity

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}) = 0 , \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}) = \int d^4x \Big(& \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta A_\mu^a} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta c^a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \gamma^{a\mu\nu}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta D^a} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \phi^a} \\
& + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \xi_\mu^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\bar{c}^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + (\mathcal{Q}b^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta b^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\bar{\xi}_\mu^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \xi_\mu^a} \\
& + (\mathcal{Q}h_\mu^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta h_\mu^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\bar{\phi}^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\omega^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \omega^a} + (\mathcal{Q}e^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta e^a} \\
& + (\mathcal{Q}\lambda^a) \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \lambda^a} \Big) - u^a \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial v^a} - \eta^\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial \theta^\mu} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It is remarkable to note that the modified source term (4.9) leads to a generalized Slavnov identity (4.11) which, in contrast to what happens for the operators $\mathcal{W}_\mu$, $\mathcal{G}^a$ and $\mathcal{F}^a$ in (2.16), (2.19) and (2.22), surprisingly describes an *exact* symmetry of the total action $\mathcal{I}$

Again, due to the presence in $S_{ext}^{(\mathcal{Q})}$ of quadratic terms in the external sources, the linearized operator

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathcal{I}} = \int d^4x \Big(& \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta A_\mu^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Omega^{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta c^a} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta c^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta L^a} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \gamma^{a\mu\nu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \gamma^{a\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta D^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^a} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \phi^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta D^a} \\
& + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi_\mu^a} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \xi_\mu^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho^{a\mu}} + (\mathcal{Q}\bar{c}^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + (\mathcal{Q}b^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta b^a} \\
& + (\mathcal{Q}\bar{\xi}_\mu^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi_\mu^a} + (\mathcal{Q}h_\mu^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta h_\mu^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\bar{\phi}^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\omega^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \omega^a} \\
& + (\mathcal{Q}e^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta e^a} + (\mathcal{Q}\lambda^a) \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda^a} \Big) - u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} - \eta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\mu} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

is nilpotent :

$$D_{\mathcal{I}} D_{\mathcal{I}} = 0 . \tag{4.14}$$

It is apparent now that the Slavnov identity in (4.11) describes the complete nonlinear algebra (2.31) and (2.32).

The dependence of the action $\mathcal{I}$ on the new ghosts $(u^a, v^a, \eta^\mu, \theta^\mu)$ is controlled by the following equations :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial u^a} = \Delta_{(u)}^a , \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial v^a} = \Delta_{(v)}^a \tag{4.15}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial \eta^\mu} = \Delta_\mu^{(\eta)} , \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial \theta^\mu} = \Delta_\mu^{(\theta)} , \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(u)}^a &= - \int d^4x D^a \\ \Delta_{(v)}^a &= \int d^4x f^{abc} \left(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{b\mu\nu} \partial^\rho \bar{\xi}^{c\sigma} + \rho^{b\mu} A_\mu^c + D^b c^c + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{b\mu\nu} \gamma^{c\rho\sigma} \right) \\ \Delta_\mu^{(\eta)} &= \int d^4x \left(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Omega^{a\nu} (\partial^\rho \bar{\xi}^{a\sigma} + \gamma^{a\rho\sigma}) - L^a A_\mu^a - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{a\rho\sigma} \partial^\nu \bar{c}^a \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \rho^{a\nu} B_{\mu\nu}^a + D^a \xi_\mu^a \right) \\ \Delta_\mu^{(\theta)} &= \int d^4x \left(-\Omega^{a\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a + L^a \partial_\mu c^a - \gamma^{a\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu B_{\rho\sigma}^a + \rho^{a\nu} \partial_\mu \xi_\nu^a - D^a \partial_\mu \phi^a \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

which, being linear in the quantum fields, represent classical breakings.

Notice that

$$\Delta_{(v)}^a = \Delta^a , \quad (4.18)$$

where Δ^a is the classical breaking of the ghost equation (2.19).

The operators (4.12) and (4.15), (4.16) form the following nonlinear algebra :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} \mathcal{D}(\gamma) + D_\gamma \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u^a} - \Delta_{(u)}^a \right) = \mathcal{G}^a \gamma - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial v^a} \quad (4.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} \mathcal{D}(\gamma) - D_\gamma \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial v^a} - \Delta_{(v)}^a \right) = \\ \mathcal{F}^a \gamma + \int d^4x \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} f^{abc} (\partial^\rho \gamma^{b\mu\nu}) (h^{c\sigma} - \eta^\sigma \bar{\phi}^c + \theta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{\xi}^{c\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^\mu} \mathcal{D}(\gamma) - D_\gamma \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \eta^\mu} - \Delta_\mu^{(\eta)} \right) = \\ \mathcal{W}_\mu \gamma - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \theta^\mu} + \int d^4x \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial^\rho \Omega^{a\nu}) (h^{a\sigma} - \eta^\sigma \bar{\phi}^a + \theta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{\xi}^{a\sigma}) \\ - \int d^4x \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial^\nu \gamma^{a\rho\sigma}) (b^a - f^{abc} v^b \bar{\phi}^c + \theta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{c}^a) \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\mu} \mathcal{D}(\gamma) + D_\gamma \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \theta^\mu} - \Delta_\mu^{(\theta)} \right) = \mathcal{P}_\mu \gamma , \quad (4.22)$$

where γ is a generic functional with even $\Phi\Pi$ -charge.

It is important at this point to spend a few words on this construction, the motivation for the introduction of the operator $\mathcal{D}(\gamma)$ is that the search

of the anomalies for each single operator of the nonlinear algebra (2.31)–(2.32) is now reduced to the characterization of a unique anomaly for the Slavnov operator $\mathcal{D}(\gamma)$, *i.e.* if the quantum vertex functional

$$\Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})} = \mathcal{I} + O(\hbar) \quad (4.23)$$

satisfies the Slavnov identity

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}) = 0 \quad (4.24)$$

and the global ghost equations (4.15), (4.16) then the vertex functional

$$\Gamma \equiv \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})} \Big|_{u=v=\eta=\theta=0} \quad (4.25)$$

obeys the equations

$$\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}^a \Gamma = \Delta^a, \quad \mathcal{F}^a \Gamma = \Xi^a \quad (4.27)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu \Gamma = \Delta_\mu^{cl}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu \Gamma = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

which imply the absence of anomalies for the operators (2.27)–(2.30).

Indeed, replacing γ by $\Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}$ in (4.19)–(4.22) one finds the identities :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^a \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}}{\partial v^a} = \Delta_{(v)}^a \equiv \Delta^a \\ \mathcal{F}^a \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})} &= - \int d^4x \, \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} f^{abc} (\partial^\rho \gamma^{b\mu\nu}) (h^{c\sigma} - \eta^\sigma \bar{\phi}^c + \theta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{\xi}^{c\sigma}) \\ \mathcal{W}_\mu \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}}{\partial \theta^\mu} - \int d^4x \, \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial^\rho \Omega^{a\nu}) (h^{a\sigma} - \eta^\sigma \bar{\phi}^a + \theta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{\xi}^{a\sigma}) \\ &\quad + \int d^4x \, \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\partial^\nu \gamma^{a\rho\sigma}) (b^a - f^{abc} v^b \bar{\phi}^c + \theta^\lambda \partial_\lambda \bar{c}^a) \\ \mathcal{P}_\mu \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

which, taken at vanishing global ghosts, reduce exactly to the identities (4.27), (4.28), while

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}) \Big|_{u=v=\eta=\theta=0} \equiv \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = 0. \quad (4.30)$$

4.2 Absence of anomalies

For what concerns the renormalization of the global ghost equations (4.15), (4.16), it is easy to show that they can be implemented at the quantum level, *i.e.* :

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}}{\partial u^a} = \Delta_{(u)}^a, \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}}{\partial v^a} = \Delta_{(v)}^a \quad (4.31)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}}{\partial \eta^\mu} = \Delta_\mu^{(\eta)}, \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}}{\partial \theta^\mu} = \Delta_\mu^{(\theta)}, \quad (4.32)$$

Let us now discuss the renormalization of the Slavnov identity (4.11).

According to the Quantum Action Principle [18], the least order breaking $\mathcal{A}$ of the Slavnov identity

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^{(\mathcal{Q})}) = \mathcal{A} + O(\hbar \mathcal{A}) \quad (4.33)$$

is an integrated local functional with dimensions four and Faddeev–Popov charge +1, which, at the lowest nonvanishing order in $\hbar$, satisfies the consistency condition

$$D_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A} = 0. \quad (4.34)$$

To study the cohomology of the nilpotent operator $D_{\mathcal{I}}$, we introduce the filtration

$$\mathcal{N} = u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} + v^a \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} + \eta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^\mu} + \theta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\mu}. \quad (4.35)$$

Thanks to the property (4.30), $\mathcal{N}$ decomposes the operator $D_{\mathcal{I}}$ as :

$$D_{\mathcal{I}} = D^{(0)} + D^{(R)}, \quad (4.36)$$

with

$$D^{(0)} = B_\Sigma - u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} - \eta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\mu}, \quad (4.37)$$

and B_Σ is the linearized Slavnov operator defined in (2.14). Due to the nilpotency of B_Σ , it follows that

$$D^{(0)} D^{(0)} = 0. \quad (4.38)$$

Since the cohomology of $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of that of $D^{(0)}$ [20], we proceed to the characterization of the cohomology of this latter operator, *i.e.* we study the equation

$$D^{(0)}X = 0 , \quad (4.39)$$

in the space of integrated local functionals with dimension four and Faddeev–Popov charge +1.

Expression (4.37) shows that the global ghosts (u, v) and (η, θ) appear in BRS doublets and it is known [20] that the cohomology cannot depend on such couples.

The equation (4.39) is then equivalent to :

$$B_{\Sigma}\Delta = 0 , \quad (4.40)$$

where Δ is an integrated local functional independent from the pairs of global ghosts which, on the other hand, are not reintroduced by B_{Σ} (2.14).

Writing Δ in terms of differential forms :

$$\Delta = \int \Delta_4^1(x) , \quad (4.41)$$

the equation (4.40) can be translated into a local one as :

$$B_{\Sigma}\Delta_4^1 + d\Delta_3^2 = 0 , \quad (4.42)$$

where d is the exterior derivative and Δ_4^1, Δ_3^2 are forms of degree four and three and ghost number one and two respectively, according to the notation

$$\Delta_q^p ; \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p = \text{ghost number} \\ q = \text{form degree} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.43)$$

The identity (4.42) yields a sequence of descent equations [19]

$$B_{\Sigma}\Delta_4^1 + d\Delta_3^2 = 0 \quad (4.44)$$

$$B_{\Sigma}\Delta_3^2 + d\Delta_2^3 = 0 \quad (4.45)$$

$$B_{\Sigma}\Delta_2^3 + d\Delta_1^4 = 0 \quad (4.46)$$

$$B_{\Sigma}\Delta_1^4 + d\Delta_0^5 = 0 \quad (4.47)$$

$$B_\Sigma \Delta_0^5 = 0 , \quad (4.48)$$

whose most general expression for the Δ_0^5 -cocycle is

$$\Delta_0^5 = a_1^{abcde} c^a c^b c^c c^d c^e + a_2^{abcd} \phi^a c^b c^c c^d + a_3^{abc} \phi^a \phi^b c^c . \quad (4.49)$$

The invariance condition (4.48) implies that

$$\Delta_0^5 = r d^{apq} f^{pbc} f^{qde} c^a c^b c^c c^d c^e + B_\Sigma \widetilde{\Delta}_0^4 \quad (4.50)$$

where d^{abc} is the completely symmetric tensor of rank three and r an arbitrary coefficient.

This cocycle yields the usual nonabelian gauge anomaly [19] whose absence, in this case, is insured by the fact that all the fields belong to the adjoint representation of the gauge group G . It is well known indeed that the triangle anomaly generates a symmetric tensor d^{abc} with a nonvanishing coefficient only if the model contains fields belonging to a complex representation of G . This means that the coefficient r in (4.50) vanishes.

We have then proven that the local cohomology of B_Σ in the five-charged Faddeev–Popov sector is empty. This implies that the equation (4.47) reduces to a problem of a local cohomology instead of a modulo- d one, leading us to the study of the local cohomology of the B_Σ -operator.

To do this, we introduce a further filtering operator [20] :

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}_A + \mathcal{N}_B + \mathcal{N}_c + \mathcal{N}_\xi + \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\gamma}} + \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\Omega}} + \mathcal{N}_L + \mathcal{N}_D + \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\rho}} + \mathcal{N}_\phi \quad (4.51)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ is the counting operator :

$$\mathcal{N}_\varphi = \int d^4x \quad \varphi \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} . \quad (4.52)$$

$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ decomposes the operator B_Σ as :

$$B_\Sigma = B_\Sigma^{(0)} + B_\Sigma^{(R)} \quad (4.53)$$

where

$$B_\Sigma^{(0)} = \int d^4x \left(-\partial_\mu c^a \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a} - \partial_\mu \xi_\nu^a \frac{\delta}{\delta B_{\mu\nu}^a} + \partial_\mu \phi^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi_\mu^a} - \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho A_\sigma^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu}} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu B_{\rho\sigma}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{\Omega}^{a\mu}} + 2 \partial_\nu \hat{\gamma}^{a\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{\rho}^{a\mu}} - \partial \hat{\Omega}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta L^a} - \partial \hat{\rho}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta D^a} \right) . \quad (4.54)$$

and

$$B_{\Sigma}^{(0)} B_{\Sigma}^{(0)} = 0 . \quad (4.55)$$

Following [15, 20], it is not difficult to show that the local cohomology of $B_{\Sigma}^{(0)}$ depends only on the undifferentiated ghosts (c, ϕ) .

Since c and ϕ are both dimensionless, it follows that the most general solution for the higher cocycle Δ_4^1 is a $B_{\Sigma}^{(0)}$ -coboundary modulo a total derivative, implying then the vanishing of the cohomology of B_{Σ} .

Moreover, since the cohomology of $D_{\mathcal{I}}$ is isomorphic [20] to a subspace of that of B_{Σ} , it follows that the general solution of the equation (4.34) is

$$\mathcal{A} = D_{\mathcal{I}} \widehat{\mathcal{A}} . \quad (4.56)$$

This concludes the proof of the absence of anomalies.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Alberto Blasi and Olivier Piguet for interesting discussions. N.M. is grateful to the *Département de Physique Théorique de l'Université de Genève* for the kind hospitality during the preparation of this work.

References

- [1] V. I. Ogievetsky and I. V. Polubarinov, *Yad. Fiz.* 4(68)210;
S. Deser, *Phys. Rev.* 178 (1969) 1931;
K. Hayashi, *Phys. Lett.* B44 (1973) 497;
Kalb and P. Ramond, *Phys. Rev.* D9 (1974) 2273;
Y. Nambu, *Phys. Reports* 23 (191976) 2;50
D. Z. Freedman, P. K. Townsend, *Nucl. Phys.* B177 (1981) 282;

- [2] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson, *Phys. Reports* 209 (1991) 209;
- [3] G. T. Horowitz, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 125 (1989) 4;17
 G. T. Horowitz and M. Srednicki, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 130 (1990) 83;
 M. Blau and G. Thompson, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 205 (1991) 1;30
 M. Blau and G. Thompson, *Phys. Lett.* B255 (1991) 535;
- [4] D. Rolfsen, “Knots and Links. ”, Publish or Perish, Wilmington 1976;
- [5] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* B311 (1988) 46;
- [6] E. Guadagnini, N. Maggiore and S. P. Sorella, *Phys. Lett.* B247 (1990) 543;
- [7] J. Thierry-Mieg and L. Baulieu, *Nucl. Phys.* B228 (1983) 259;
 S. P. de Alwis, M. T. Grisaru and L. Mezincescu, *Phys. Lett.* B190 (1987) 22;
 S. P. de Alwis, M. T. Grisaru and L. Mezincescu, *Nucl. Phys.* B303 (1987) 57;
 A. H. Diaz, *Phys. Lett.* B203 (1988) 408;
 J. Thierry-Mieg, *Nucl. Phys.* B335 (1990) 334;
- [8] E. Guadagnini, N. Maggiore and S. P. Sorella, *Phys. Lett.* B255 (1991) 65;
- [9] M. Abud, J. P. Ader and L. Cappiello, “A BRST Lagrangian quantization of reducible gauge theories: nonabelian p forms and string theories. ”, DSF-T-90-19, INFN-NA-IV-90-19, LPTB-90-14;
- [10] N. Maggiore and S. P. Sorella, ”Finiteness of the topological models in the Landau gauge. ”, Lapp-Th-928-91, to be published on Nucl. Phys. B;
- [11] F. Delduc, F. Gieres and S. P. Sorella, *Phys. Lett.* B225 (1989) 367;
 D. Birmingham, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson, *Nucl. Phys.* B329 (1990) 83;
 F. Delduc, O. Piguet, C. Lucchesi and S. P. Sorella, *Nucl. Phys.* B346 (1990) 313;

- [12] C.Lucchesi, O.Piguet and S.P.Sorella, in preparation;
- [13] L. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Phys. Lett.* B102 (1981) 27;
L. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Phys. Rev.* D28 (1983) 2567;
- [14] A. Blasi, O. Piguet and S.P. Sorella, *Nucl. Phys.* B356 (1991) 154;
- [15] O. Piguet and S. P. Sorella, On the finiteness of the BRS modulo- d cocycle, preprint UGVA-DPT 1992/3-759
- [16] O. Piguet and A. Rouet, *Phys. Reports* 76 (1981) 1;
- [17] C. Lucchesi and O. Piguet, *Phys. Lett.* B271 (1991) 350;
C. Lucchesi and O. Piguet, " Local supersymmetry of the Chern-Simons theory and finiteness.", MPI-PH-91-101, UGVA-DPT-1991-11-754;
- [18] Y.M.P Lam, *Phys. Rev.* D6 (1972) 2145, 2161;
T.E. Clark and J.H. Lowenstein, *Nucl. Phys.* B113 (1976) 109;
- [19] J. Manes, R. Stora and B. Zumino, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 102 (1985) 157;
B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys.* B253 (1985) 477;
- [20] J.A. Dixon, *Cohomology and Renormalization of gauge theories*, Imperial College preprint-1977;
G. Bandelloni, *J. Math. Phys.* 28 (1987) 2775;